FOUNDATIONS OF COGNITIVE THEORY

Michigan State University

By the aid of language different individuals can, to a certain extent, compare their experiences. Then it turns out that certain sense perceptions of different individuals correspond to each other, while for other sense perceptions no such correspondence can be established. We are accustomed to regard as real those sense perceptions which are common to different individuals, and which therefore are, in a measure, impersonal The only justification for our concepts and system of concepts is that they serve to represent the complex of our experiences; beyond this they have no legitimacy.

A. Einstein, The Meaning of Relativity, Fifth Edition, Princeton University Press, 1956, pp. 1-2.

5

Joseph Joelfel



All Rights Reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording or any other information storage and retrieval system, without prior written permission by the publisher.

The theory presented in this essay is a formal axiomatic theory of the dynamics of cognitive processes. So frequently do we debate the merits of axiomatic versus other types of theory, however, that we often underemphasize the differences among formal axiomatic theories themselves.

This essay views science as an extension of everyday human inquiry, albeit a specialized and highly developed mode of inquiry. Science has thrived at least in part* because it is a superior form of inquiry for some purposes. This superiority, I believe, derives from the great capacity of science as a communication system to carry large amounts of precise information about problems of practical interest to people. Any system of knowledge which enhances the survival ability of a society would be of "practical interest" even if the society or individual did not perceive that utility. A science which does not enhance our ability to make precise statements about important events in human affairs that can be understood unambiguously by others to their practical advantage will not survive in a world where knowledge is power.

Axiomatization is an important step toward this goal since it allows for systematic deductive predictions of empirical outcomes. Failure of these predictions to conform to observed outcomes leads directly to a falsification of inadequate axioms, and thus theories may be improved to fit observations to ever increasing accuracy. But making predictions - or even manipulations - which are correct is not enough. Predictions must also be informative - they must yield information of real use value to humans.

* No notion of human or organizational "purposefulness" is intended here, but rather a Darwinian "Natural Selection" concept is intended. Those societies which develop scientific coding systems for whatever reasons - including chance - will fare better in a competitive environment if the theory meets the criteria enumerated here.

and the second second

to a second second second state of the second se A state of an order of the second s second se and the second second

INTRODUCTION

- in the second second
- A state of the s
- and the state of the An example of the second se Second secon second sec

 - n an an an ann an an ann an Arland ann an ann an ann an ann an ann an Arland ann an ann an Arland ann. An ann an Arland ann an Arland ann an Arland ann an Arland an Arland ann an Arland ann an Arland. Arland ann an and a second second
- and the second second second and the second second second
- en periode a la companya de la comp A companya de la comp
- nen en **agragi** Nengel Status augusto d'Alexano e constructione plotesta de la constructione de la status de participatione d Nengel Status augusto d'Alexano e constructione de la constructione de la constructione de la constructione d
- and the second second

And the second And And Constraints of the second and a second Alternation of the second se a de faita de la companya da companya de la companya da companya da companya da companya da companya da company

 $(\mathbf{\hat{u}}_{1},\ldots,\mathbf{\hat{u}}_{n}) \in \{\mathbf{\hat{u}}_{1},\ldots,\mathbf{\hat{u}}_{n}\}$

. '

Theories are informative to the extent that they provide information people want and need and can use to answer some felt interest. A theory, for example, such as

It always rains in summer

This season is summer

... It will rain this season

is formal, axiomatic, empirically correct, but relatively uninformative. This essay begins with the assumption that an axiomatic theory is a prerequisite to successful, informative science. But it goes further in that it assumes some axiomatic theories are better than others. It examines the axiomatic theory of physics closely to determine why it is so informative. It concludes that the utility of physical theory derives from characteristics of a) the symbol system it uses; b) the measurement rule it adopts, and c) the formal deductive properties of its rules for combining symbols (i.e., its grammar). The language of physical science is capable of conveying more information less ambiguously than other linguistic systems and therefore makes more informative statements about its domain of inquiry. A theory which predicts where, when, how much and for how long it will rain is fundamentally better than a theory which merely predicts that it will

rain, even if both theories are "correct."

Part two attempts to describe a theory of cognitive processes selfconsciously written to conform to the formal characteristics of the most useful of physical theories. It should be evaluated in comparison to other theories (both in this volume and elsewhere) not only in terms of how closely its predictions are borne out by observations, but also in terms of how useful and informative its predictions might be in furthering some human interest.

-2-

General Considerations

A. Science as a Communication Process Science is a social process involving the collective work of generations of scientists which has ramifications for the daily lives of all members of society. As an organized and social body of thought, science concerns itself with socially validated knowledge--knowledge which has been confirmed across observers and across time. Observations which are unique to a single observer or a single time point are never included in the body of accepted scientific theory in any science, and we accept as scientifically validated facts only those observations which are invariant across careful observations by multiple observers. Even more stringent is the requirement for scientific laws, which must be invariant across observers and across time. A little reflection quickly reveals the centrality of communication in the process of science, therefore, since invariances among the observations of different observers at different times can only be established by communication.

These observations are themselves deeply dependent upon the language or symbol set by which they are encoded, as is very apparent when we consider how we can communicate them to another observer for confirmation, or even how we recall them for comparison with our own later observations. Whether or not we accept the strong Whorfian hypothesis that our observations themselves are determined by our symbolic repertoire, both communication and recall do seem completely dependent on symbols, and science without inter-observer and over-time correspondence has no real meaning. These considerations warrant a very careful scrutiny of the role of language in science.

eles granica en la comencará de destructor en participados de secondas en la composición de la composición de En enclar de la composición de la compos

ч. ^т.

a na transferance a construction de la construction

ware and the second second second

leviguran iss virtingir that from a thir children and the second strandard is a second state

e di verreët chimeverre de berit actiente en orig drive drivest verre cidit. and the second second

et quincuit coment reference beccher contrate rated i influences provintingenerar.

- ende note dé l'united partir destinné destiné destructures de l'arrendites dense de monte de l'articles L'united de l'articles de l' L'united de l'articles de l

-tana-tai on gi ti vit vatorateli od giovaje raterni. je vroenj vizveolze edi

- <u>†</u>

where a conservation of a construction of a 13/51-5

natrinano and mise cas lo colorequel presorializaren ossilo bas, soqubs agli imi e mit e un ultimo e lo mise

ite sideque al position (solenda) in cognitati sili (compare qui es technique addition d'augustication (compare technique)

emere statis att maine unde virus sties east sojerspolate som verme men - statis til men statisticken som stiese som som stiese som som som verme som verme som verme verme verme v ą., 10C .

. value i lo algori est tress areas areas areas areas provedut recepteder eroloardi bus . value i anticit est itte

nin: This shound you not have done and county preside noolborge duidy yoods A

 A state of the sta ally of world publicing whereas is the warrier produced values buck wh

Processon and programmed data and a states and the stations when

and and the color for commence for the set of grades of a split of the set of the split of the set of the

veder et rest d'are strategiere en bilige d'areasis destingés de la duise

streate and his report of the test to respect to each deploy and and gravit estimate the streate set in the second second

ener for energial and the second comparison with the parameter of **MBR borre borre borre** whether a second second second second provide and second provide and and a second second second second second whether a second second second second provide a second provide and a second second second second second second whether a second se

. '

PART I

-3-

B. Science as a Special Descriptive Language Any language--even a language specifically for scientists--must have at a minimum three elements: (1) a set of symbols, (2) a set of rules for setting the symbols into correspondence with observations made by observers, and (3) a set of rules for combining symbols. In a vernacular language (like English), the set of symbols consists primarily of the set of words and non-verbal gestures which the bulk of the language users recognize. Each of these words or gestures must be individually remembered; there is no rule by which a person who knows some of the words may construct all the others. The set of rules for setting each subset of these words into correspondence with observations is lengthy, unsystematic, inductive and informal--the result of generations of informal social negotiation. Nor do all users of the language share exactly the same rules: one observer may differ considerably from another in the set of observations to which he or she may attach the word "good," for example, or "friendly." Moreover, the set of rules for combining words is similarly unsystematic and often ambiguous.

The combination of the words "good" and "person," for example, has a meaning which is a combination of the meanings of the two. Adding the word "friendly" to this combination transforms the meaning of the phrase in a roughly additive way, that is, the combination "good" and "friendly" is some sort of sum of the meanings of "good" and "friendly." Adding an adverb like "very" changes the meaning in a multiplicative fashion, but how this new meaning is derived is not explicit. In general, for example, the adverb "extremely" has a higher multiplicative value than the adverb "very," but this is not true for every user of English (Cliff, 1959, 1974). These ambiguities cannot be permitted in science, and for a simple reason: if

t - State ^{gal and} State of the state of

, grouped to each proposed to an admitted the

Reliable is a contribution of a second strategorie of the second st

 and the second second

n general de Miller Antonio en la constant, en la constante de la constante de la constante de la seconda de A La general ್ರಾಂಧಕ್ಷೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಕ್ರಾಮಿಸಿದ್ದ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇವರು ಕ್ರಾಮಿಸಿಕ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಕ್ರಾಮಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದ ಮ n marala di anti anti-anti al bana anti-anti anti di manto di manto di manto. Ni mar n en en service de la service de la constant de la service de la service de la service de la service de la serv La service de ante, polegi tupoj istropolo a completanto de careco de completante de completante de completante de completante n na servez de la se Na servez de la serve en en la seconda de la sec La seconda , e en 12 lan Crest A

our goal as scientists is to establish invariances among observations across observers via communication, we must rule out the possibility that variability in observations reported by multiple observers is attributable to amgiguities in the use of the language by which the observations are compared. To prevent this, we might set up several criteria that any satisfactory scientific language must meet.

First, all users must agree unequivocally on the set of symbols to be used. Second, the number of symbols in the set must be at least adequate, in all possible permutations, to enumerate all possible observations. Third. all users must share a simple unambiguous set of rules for setting observations into correspondence with symbols, and fourth, the rules for combining symbols must be unambiguous and consensual. To help assure that these four criteria are met, and to assure efficiency in use of the language, we will specify a fifth criterion: all rules--i.e., the rule for defining symbols, the rules for establishing correspondence between symbols and observations, and the rules for combining symbols -- must be as "simple" as possible. We might accomplish this by specifying that the most primitive set of such rules be small, and that every additional rule may be derivable from the original set, rather than simply remembered one by one as is by and large the case in vernacular language. Before turning our attention to the way in which science constructs explanations, therefore, we might well examine the process by which science, as a collective social enterprise, describes phenomena.

1. Fundamental Descriptive Variables. Aside from very specialized verbal symbols (like "nucleus" or "force") the primary set of symbols of science consists of the set of mathematical symbols. For the time being we will restrict our discussion to a subset of these--the set of real numbers.

-5-

 A set of the set o • . . . : . una en el calendar de la companya d La companya de la comp na. Na sana ang kaominina mananana ang kaominina ang kaominina ang kaominina ang kaominina ang kaominina ang kaomin · . · · · . • • in the second states of the and the construction of the second Sec. CR 65 get were headered on were offer an state of the second end of the second en en de la companya La companya de la comp erandrouks from all a contractor and the state of a contractor and the board and the second n an an an ann an Arland ann an Arland a An Arland a n and a second na en la companya de la comp

en els cas d'antitudes de la confectión de na substantia en la companya de la c En la companya de la c En la companya de la c e jest ette algebrich t taken a star seat and a second , e ge - 12 aa ungig demen solis film som solis sin solis solis and solis solis solis solis solis and solis film solis film s Alter international international solis Anno international solis so and the second of the second of the second first second first second second second second second second second neder several for an and a several seve Beder several for an analysis of the several several several several several several several several several sev 가 가 있었다. 그 바라 한 동안 등 전 100 년 110 년 1 a bilitions and a second se Are some for a second Are some for the second sec Sec. 19. 2013

A second s

This subset of symbols is of extreme importance in science since measurement (which is used here as a synonym for observation or description) is usually defined as the process of setting observations into correspondence with elements of the set of real numbers according to some rule (Campbell, 1921, 1952; S. S. Stevens, 1951, 1968; Suppes and Zinnes, 1963). As Kramer (1974) puts it:

> Thus a measure is a function whose domain is some class of sets and whose range is an aggregate of non-negative real numbers. It would then seem logical to divide an explanation of measure into two parts, first, a discussion of the domain, the class of sets to be measured, and second, the rules which are to govern the range. (pp. 393-394)

For scientific purposes, the real numbers are superior to English words for at least three reasons: first, all the real numbers may be created out of a small set of symbols at once by any experienced user--even those real numbers never encountered before. Second, there is no ambiguity about the "meaning" of any real number among scientists. Once any real number has been written, its relationship to all other real numbers is completely and unambiguously determined for all users. Third, many valuable operations are defined for the real numbers.

Once having defined a satisfactory symbol set (a process which, we should recall, is not complete after several thousands of years of pure and applied mathematical study) it remains to form some unambiguous, consensual rule by which linkages between the symbol set and observations may be made. Here Einstein's conception of the measurement of distance is instructive (Einstein, 1961):

> For this purpose (the measurement of distance) we require a "distance" (Rod S) which is to be used once and for all, and which we employ as a standard measure. If, now, A and B are two points on a rigid body, we can construct the

-6-

and a second and the second 1. Construction of the statement of the second s Second s Second se

> Hold The second seco

and sharp fair an instant and an an ann an san an tarra a bar air an tarra an tarra. The star by tagent is supplied to a set of a set of a set of the set of th and the first of the second of the second tere viologicanji, prefere to establistica della filita politica del contra del contra del contra del contra d Ber di establisti and and do non a figuration and the second states in the second states with the second states and the second s

sense presidente a sense à l'esta que se constructione de l'estat d'un president de l'estat d'un preside l'estat L'estat de la construction de la cons a second and a second second second and a second And a second s en andre for de la constant de la c

> 가지 않는 것을 가지 또한 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 가지 않는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 것을 것을 수 있는 것을 것을 것을 수 있는 것을 것을 수 있는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 것을 것을 수 있는 것을 것을 같은 것을 같은 것을 하는 것을 것을 수 있는 것을 것을 수 있는 것을 것을 것을 수 있는 것을 것을 것을 것을 것을 것을 것을 수 있는 것을 수

line joining them according to the rules of geometry; then, (p. 5)

starting from A, we can mark off the distance S time after time until we reach B. The number of these operations required is the numerical measure of the distance AB. This is the basis of all measurement of length. Einstein's measurement procedure is two-staged: first, an arbitrary distance (or discrepancy, in the general case) is stipulated by the scientist. It is vital to note that rules for the perception or measurement of this initial measurement distance or discrepancy are not stated; rather the scientist must assume the observer shares with him/her a common referent for the ordinary language symbol "distance" or "difference," and that the observer can make this initial recognition unaided by further definition. Ultimately it is this call to common experience as codified in ordinary language symbols that establishes a link between the everyday experience of the observer and the scientific theory.

While the choice of the unit of measure is arbitrary, choice of different standards will have consequences for the patterns of measurements made with the system. Choosing as Rod S some ordinary language symbol whose relation to other such symbols is stable over time might make results of the measurement more clearly interpretable in terms of the ordinary language system than would a Rod S defined by a symbol whose meaning fluctuates in the vernacular system.

Secondly, the scientist specifies a rule by which other instances of distance or discrepancy are to be compared to this unit. In this case, observers are asked to make ratio comparisons of all other distances or discrepancies to this arbitrary standard.

Distance can only be measured in relation to some other standard of measure (Rod S) which itself is undefined and unmeasured. A measurement system that employs, at its core, an unmeasured standard is commonly referred

-7-

to as fundamental measurement (Campbell, 1928; Ellis, 1966; Hays, 1967; Suppes and Zinnes, 1963). On the fundamental measurement of length or distance. Hays (1967) remarks that "Length is measured <u>in terms of length</u>. One need not define length in reference to other quantities" (p. 15), Danes and Woelfel, 1975).

As communication scientists, however, we may inquire into the underlying character of a fundamental perception such as distance or time. First, fundamental measurements assume for the perception of both distance and time that there exists some sort of <u>separation</u> (i.e., an observed distinction, discrepancy, disparity, difference, etc.) among two or more stimuli; thus distance may be viewed as separation in terms of physical location and time as separation in terms of a durational array. This separation is symbolized by some vernacular word or set of words such that the mention of the word serves as a cue to recall the observation. When the scientist wishes to refer to this observed separation, he or she cites these associated words. The observations called to mind by the words represent the meaning of the words. There is no direct access to the observation not mediated in this way by words or symbols of some sort.

Secondly, when several of these observed separations have been recalled, fundamental measurement requires that all observers apply an unambiguous rule for comparing these observations to each other. The simplest such rule requires comparison of a sequence of observed separations to an arbitrarily chosen observed separation to determine whether or not they are identical. Similarly, a stronger (ordinal) rule would require the respondent to determine whether observed separations are larger or smaller than the original arbitrary standard separation. Einstein's distance rule above requires a ratio judgment of separation; i.e., the respondent is required to specify

A second second

An a second of the second of th

-8-

how many times larger or smaller than the original standard the observed separation may be. The more rigorous this rule, the more precise the resulting measures (given, of course, that the observer understands and can apply the rule). The <u>meaning</u> of the resulting measure is given by the meaning of the vernacular word(s) which call up the separations in the first place. By now, most people in industrialized settings have become quite adept at making ratio judgments of separation in physical location (distance) and temporal arrays (time), as well as in other abstract domains, such as monetary value, although it is clearly true that the general population cannot be expected to use these rules with the precision of trained scientific observers. No rule is required by nature, however, and scientists are free to choose any rule, but different rules will yield different geometries and processes. By a pragmatic argument, some of these will prove more helpful than others for differing purposes.

2. Transformation Rules. Once a consistent symbol system has been consensually defined, and unambiguous rules of correspondence have been established, we may concern ourselves with the set of rules for combinations of symbols. In the case of the real numbers, these rules are relatively unambiguously established in the form of the field axioms, which define the operations of addition and multiplication for the real numbers. Since these symbols have now been set into correspondence with the observations, these operations on the symbols are equivalent to transformations of the observations which correspond to the symbols. Two particular classes of these transformations are of substantial interest. The first of these consists of what we may term cross-observer transformations.

To illustrate the meaning of cross-observer transformations, consider the case of two observers A and B viewing the cylindrical object X as in

•

-9-

الأمام المراجع من من المراجع ال المراجع مراجع المراجع مراجع المراجع ا and an arrest sector of the Arrest sector of the

• • • • • •

a sere a compañía de n en el estado de la compañía de la La compañía de la comp

a series a series de la serie de la se La serie de la s La serie de la s

 $= - \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} -$

and any later the share of the share share sha معلا موجد الحري المعالية المحالية المعالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية العربية العربية العربي المحالية في المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية العربية العربية ال المحالية العربية العر

a several a several se A several severa

A service of the serv

المعادة (معاري) . معاد الإسلام في العاد المعاد المع المعاد المعاد

ાં ગામમાં પ્રતિવૃદ્ધ છે. તેમ કે બાળવા પ્રતિવૃદ્ધ છે. આ ગામમાં પ્રતિવૃદ્ધ છે. આ ગામમાં પ્રતિવૃદ્ધ છે. આ ગામમાં પ આ ગામમાં પ્રતિવૃદ્ધ છે. તેમ કે બાળવા પ્રતિવૃદ્ધ છે. આ ગામમાં પ્રતિવૃદ્ધ છે. આ ગામમાં પ્રતિવૃદ્ધ છે. આ ગામમાં પ્ આ ગામમાં આ ગામમાં આવ્યું છે. આ ગામમાં આવ્યું છે. આ ગામમાં

Figure One. Even though both observers utilize the same symbols and share unambiguously rules for setting observations into correspondence with these

X Figure One

symbols, and even though they both observe the "same" object, through the aid of communication they find their observations do not correspond, since observer A sees the cylinder as an oblong figure, while observer B sees a perfect circle. Clearly, in the example given, this failure of correspondence cannot be attributed to characteristics of the "object" alone, nor to ambiguous use of symbols or rules of correspondence, and must therefore be attributed to characteristics of the observers. The task of the scientist is now straightforward but nevertheless tedious: he or she must find some transformation from among the permissible rules of combinations--or define a new rule of combination -- which transforms the observations (now encoded into symbols) of one or both observers until they correspond. The specification of invariants under transformation is generally considered the primary task of scientific theory (Einstein, 1961; Reichenbach, 1958; Kramer, 1970; Pieszko, 1970). (In the present example, of course, a simple rotation through 90 degrees will suffice.) Those characteristics of the observations which are invariant under this transformation--that is, those characteristics which are not dependent on the perspective of the observer--



· * ·

.

and the second n de la companya de l La companya de la comp A second a da anti-arresta de la companya de Arresta de la companya de la deserva (a) A set of the set anda). Bertan 1919 - Angelan Angelan, angelan angelan angelan angelan angelan angelan angelan angelan angelan angel Bertan 1919 - Angelan a Burnet mog viturement untaria sement inder schedung in enter indere. and a subscription of the second of the second s terresterresterresterresterresterresterresterresterresterresterresterresterresterresterresterresterresterreste An anderesterresterresterresterresterresterresterresterresterresterresterresterresterresterresterresterresterres ada se satisficada en en la factor de la seconda de la and the second and a stream of a final sector of the sector

may be meaningfully attributed to the object observed. If no characteristics of the observations which are invariant across the required transformation can be found, the observations are not consensually validated, and are not considered "real" or "objective," but must be considered, at least for the time being, idiosyncracies of the observers. The first task of scientists, therefore, once symbol systems and rules of correspondence have been established, is to find transformations which render observations by different observers congruent and to isolate properties of the observations which are invariant across those transformations. We are accustomed to call "real" those observations for which such transformations have been identified, and it is revealing to discover how many of the discoveries of modern physical science have been formal mathematical achievements of just this sort. The discovery that all differences in physical perspective could be transformed away by only one class of transformations -- i.e., rotations and translations -- was a landmark discovery for classical science since it was then a formal mathematical question as to which properties remain invariant across this general class of transformations.

The second form of transformation of interest to us may be termed cross-time transformation, and can be understood as a simple analytic extension of cross-observer transformations. In this case, instead of considering two observers A and B, we may think of a single observer moving from point A to point B in Figure One. (This, of course, is equivalent to a static observer and a rotating object, and so only one of these cases need be considered.) Here we are concerned with finding a transformation which will establish correspondence between observations made by the observer at one time with observations made at a second time. While the logic of these transformations is identical to that of cross-observer transforma-

-1.1.-

. the second of the second of the . .

. . . 1. A. A. and the second and the second second 1 g . · · · · . . and a second $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^T + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^T + \mathbf{v}$ and the second · · · · · in System in the ÷ and a second second and the second e superior program te petro e c . . . and the second second second second

and the weather that the second se and the second state of the se a de la companya de la comp and the second no en estrutura de la superior de la seconda de la seco La seconda de la superior de la seconda de and the second ingenerative provide the second s and the second state of the second state n an an an an ann an Arranna an Arranna an Arranna ann an Arranna an Arranna an Arranna an Arranna an Arranna a and the second

tions, these transformations hold a special place in science insofar as they constitute the set of equations which describe motion and change. Furthermore, cross-time transformations introduce a new complexity into our considerations since they involve the combination -- and hence the need for new combination rules--of two or more fundamental variables, one of which is always time. Time, like distance, is a fundamental variable. Following Michels, time is that which is measured by a clock, and a clock is ". . . any device which emits signals such that the interval between any two contiguous signals is the same" (Michels, 1968, p.23). The most convenient combination rule for time and distance is again a ratio rule -- the ratio of distance travelled to time yields the derived descriptive variable velocity as

$$V = \frac{\Delta s}{\Delta t}$$

and other such derived variables are well known, such as acceleration:

a =
$$\frac{\Delta v}{\Delta t}$$

Following the same reasoning, we may now consider a primary task of science as the establishment of cross-observer invariances in the perceptions of such derived variables as valocity and acceleration for observers themselves in motion relative to each other and to the object observed. This is quite important, since it is generally changes that we seek to explain, and changes are always and only derived variables across time.

C. Explanation in Science--Causal Laws

Once we have established a system for the description of processes observed by observers we can inquire into systems of explanations of these processes. Our starting point for this inquiry is the understanding that

-12-

bitrate; starts fibrics for the set of equal to the start for the start of the set of many submitting for the set of model is a set of the set of the set of the set of the second set of the set man and the set of the set man and the set of the set man and the set of the set man and the set of the set man and the set of the set man and the set of the set of

1 . . .

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i^2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i^2} = \frac{1}$

(i) a general constraint of a second state of a second of the end of the second constraint of the basis

Provinsion of the second secondary of the second se

o o sin eessen ---- in in the restarts fixed of the

23 presson is an and the second of the second se

explanations always answer some interest that human observers hold, and consequently not all observations require explanations. A careful historical analysis of science shows clearly that scientists are not generally concerned with the explanation of invariances, but rather with changes. Although much more sophisticated formulations might be found, a general cognitive principle shared by scientists might well be the assumption that, left alone, things will remain as they are. When things do "remain as they were" we are not surprised, and require no explanation. If we find the scene along our route to work today is as it was yesterday we do not seek an explanation. If we find instead that a building there yesterday is gone today we ask why. Nor is this only true of static phenomena: we expect processes to continue, and seek explanations only when the processes stop or change-thus we may well ask why a co-worker fails to come to work on a given day, but do not inquire as to why he or she does show up each day. In the dynamic model just described, we do not inquire as to why a static object remains static, or why a moving object continues to move. What we seek to explain are changes in processes, or technically, accelerations. In fact, following our general rule that, left alone things remain as they are, we assume that anything which does not remain as it was was not left alone. And as arbitrary as our original assumption that things left alone remain the same is our assertion that "something" has affected those things which do not remain the same. In general, scientists have created two wholly arbitrary concepts corresponding to the two components of this "inertial" hypothesis: inertial mass, which is the concept acting toward "sameness," and "force," which acts toward change. Neither of these concepts is "real" in the sense of fundamental concepts like distance or time, but are wholly

-13-

the contract of the set of the set of the contract of the set of the second second second second second second und Weiter aufer für Lundfährung und eine eine seine Storigen für der Mütausermannen. ne en later eleter eller el part en eller el part de la proposition de la proposition de la proposition de la p alenceivas — a costo dela destrucción a constructor relativas en la esta esta de la presente de las

. 1

and instant to the construction of the ansatz and the termine termine termine the second to the second to the second termine the second termine the second termine termin under 1. Methodie eine Beeren under Methodie eine Bereiten der Bereiten Bereiten Bereitungsbederbere und erende folgetetete del ano of the administration of the state of the and a the standard with the constraint of the property of the standard standard states of the standard states the standard states and the standard states and the standard states and the standard states and the states a an al'isoniti domana a cada salater di administrative billa de deserva de caricera en and had been been a first of the second first and the second first and the second second second second second s . We want to be shown on a sufficiency to the first of the state of the state of the state of the state of the Lenge Affrechte mit der sin der Anterne ander einer versten die versten an einer der aber aber ander ander ander and the life of the protein of the second to be reader to the second of the second of the second of the second en först hande som er stadet i er de stadet som er som stadet at her som er stadet i som er som er som er som s

an shiri shiri a sana an sa tala na panaka nan unata a shirinta na taka na taka ka taka na taka a taka anaraya a presidente de la companya de la co an data na sete parte na seconda como se a seconda con contra contra contra como se en se estas como se en acti a an tha Africa a substant substant and a stand great a construction of an array of the substances and a suban en Maria (1994) alla sub esta la companya en esta contra contra contra contra companya en companya de secto n Freihrennen en annen seitz binderskon seine sis die beite zugennen in die beite.

constructs derived from our inertial assumption. We define inertial mass as that quality of matter which resists acceleration,

 $m = -\frac{\pi}{2}$

where k = a constant, and force as that which produces acceleration, as

F = ma

The constructed nature of these concepts is clear from the fact that neither of them is ever directly measured in a fundamental way, as time and distance are measured, but both are always derived from the fundamental variables distance and time and the "inertial" assumption. Mass, for example, is hardly the objectively concrete kind of phenomenon it seems. Mass is defined as the quality of matter which resists acceleration. As such, mass cannot be directly touched, seen, heard, smelled, tasted, or sensed in any way. Measurement of mass requires the theoretical

linking of the concept to some observable phenomenon like acceleration, as in the expression:

 $m = -\frac{F}{2}$

If we can somehow control for force, then the theoretical link between mass and acceleration allows us to convert observed acceleration into mass. We might, then, roll a steel ball down an inclined plane until it strikes another ball, measure the valocity of the second ball after an interval of time t (since velocity can be measured) and calculate the average acceleration by dividing the velocity by the interval of time. At this point, however, the mass of the second ball is still unknown. Only after we roll, for example, the same first ball down the same inclined plane into a third ball will we be able to establish a relative measure of mass. Since the force F

-14-

na 1999 – Alexandra Maria, en la constanta de la constanta de Maria Maria (m. 1999) 1999 – Alexandra Maria, en la constanta de la c

and the second sec

nergia nelle companye entrefonda da antara contra e teleco. Un contra formere encomente dell' Nationale da antara entrefondatione entrefondatione entrefondatione entrefondatione entrefondatione entrefondat e se orrer se la larra sebrer del l'esperante grandels de la sude la suder la suder la suder la suder la suder Reference de la verse de la suder ja de la social social suder lo suder la aransenal a construction de este els entres de la construction de la construction de la construction de la cons La construction de la construction d (a) A set of the descent of the set of th ander enderen in en de la societation de la strand de la societation de la segura de la segura de la societatio La segura des regenerations de la segura de la societation de la segura de la segura de la segura de la segura eraelessos contere dolar constances diferenciam e complété d'arrest constanté +originame constant constant constant d'arrest constant e proventione de secondario (constant d une filment géneral i un el climéter ar service de la presente els sousses efficies els completes el completes L'intérnet d'alternet unergent l'alternet un induit d'alternet els mentes els sousses, els publics d'alternet e . En el terretar de la desta de la companya de la c En el terretar en entre de la companya de la company

an y weiden en die weite name name. Die name ander die verschieden verschieden der Die gehören. Reigheitzung in die eine stille gewannen werfen die verschieden die eine die sterken. i se ale egos cole en

and the cost of the set of residences and the set of the generation of the set of the set of the set of the set er en ander en alle en andere ander ander an en andere en andere en andere en alle en else andere en andere en este sussilité à solo sons la provincie de la solo de la foise de la solo de la solo de la solo de la solo de Este moderno de finales este construire d'il: (i) Second of an analysis of a pression of the second sec second sec and the second of the factor of the second o ne energia a filo de la composición de La contrativa de la filo de la composición de la composición de la composición de la composición de la composici . . . <u>.</u> . nyen stallere om en still er en stallere en staller i staller. Die steren en delde ere met er ofer staten. Tennisch fein av stationer et er statener met er er i stationer i verste er er er er stationer er er er er er e Recent Art (1995) of the second state of the second and the second first of the second s · 教育者 · Although Andreas and Although Charles and Charles and Charles and Charles and Charles and Although Andreas and Andreas and Although Andreas and Andreas and Although may be considered the same in both instances, we may write:

 $F_1 = m_1 a_1 = F_2 = m_2 a_2$

Thus,

 $m_1 a_1 = m_2 a_2$

or better,

 $\frac{m_1}{m_2} = \frac{a_2}{a_1}$

Note that the operations of measurement yield exactly the theoretical expression by which mass is defined in theory. Since both a, and a, are measurable as ratios of distance and time, m_1 , the mass of the second ball may be expressed as a ratio to m_o, the mass of the third ball. At this point, we will have established a relative measure of mass. It is crucial to note that the measure, as are all such measures, is a ratio or proportionality and this proportionality is calculated from the theory. We expect this proportionality to remain constant over time. If it does we are satisfied that we have found the correct explanation. We do not seek to explain further, since the ratio is constant or invariant, and in general our inertial assumption does not require us to explain invariances.

These measures, although arbitrary, are of some real heuristic value, since they reduce changes to nameable invariances, and invariances are handy since they allow us to predict (and control) future outcomes with certainty. Regardless of the unsatisfactoriness of this state of affairs philosophically, attaining strong predictability of phenomena is of very great value.

Even so, scientists in the 19th and 20th centuries--like Hertz (1956),

-15-

e electro e en compagnet des constructors para por parategy, é par la

and the second second

e de Clère

internation (c)

a name mertige producer spilling and the state of sease philade by a second spilling a the **The**reases where no enable of the second by the contract of the second states which the second s and the contract the contract of the terms of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of t Selfstrand of the set is a new present the set of the list interpreted of the providence and a conservation of the state of the state of the second of the second state of the state of the second state to et manufactif i prominiante apolite protochemiane, entre part i construction protogi ghatif (construction protochemiane) entre i chesteries as a constant to the other services as services as a still provide the services and the services as a a second de la construcción de la a and an and a second from the second provide a company of the second provide sources and the first and a a second a second a second secon

Leaders and the strategies of the second second second the second states of the second second second second sec as an oracle and the many standard and the second second standard with the second second second second second s well-stream a result of the large standard of the formation result of the standard strength of the standard stream in the standard strength of the commercial demonstration or an opported process subscripts will be by head of the . A. U.S.V. 359N.S. ...

. (1812) a said and a an a distance de la state a dista de la said and a said a said a said a said

Poincare (1946), Einstein (1961), Heisenberg (1928) and others--have been dissatisfied with this arbitrariness, and have sought alternatives. Why they have done so is not difficult to see.

Consider an infinitely long train moving along a track. The track and surface of the earth provide a convenient inertial frame of reference, and the train is assumed to be moving along the track with a constant velocity V_+ relative to the surface of the earth. The car may be assumed to be moving in the same direction with a velocity V $_{\rm c}$ relative to the earth's surface. As long as the car and train do not approach near-light velocities we are safe in establishing their relative velocity as

 $V_{\pm -c} = V_{\pm} - V_{c}$ (1)

If this result is negative, the train will appear to fall behind at a steady rate; if positive, the train will appear to move ahead of the car at a steady rate. Let us assume that this state of affairs has maintained itself for a very long time, i.e., the value of V_{t-c} has not changed over many generations of train riders and car passengers. Should the value of V_{t-c} suddenly, say, decrease, most riders of both car and train will require an "explanation." But before an explanation can be forthcoming, a satisfactory description of what has happened is needed, since the observers in the car will experience the event differently from observers in the train. Car observers will see the train slow down; train riders will see the car speed up. Who is correct? Clearly we can't hope to explain why the car speeded up if it didn't speed up, and similarly for the train. Perhaps we might call for an "objective" view from a third observer at the roadside. This third observer can resolve the issue directly from within his or her inertial frame, but the objectivity of this view breaks down quickly if we consult yet

-16-

a fourth observer, say, on the moon. Clearly descriptions of events will differ from perspective to perspective, and therefore clearly what are satisfactory "explanations" in one inertial frame will in general fail in another.

This is really not a problem, as long as the transformations from one inertial frame to another are known, since the "laws of nature" in one reference system can be transformed into the laws of nature in another reference system by means of these transformations. Furthermore, those properties of observations which are invariant across the transformations will be aspects of "reality" about which all observers will agree, regardless of their own reference frame. Those in possession of the standardized language and its transformation rules will find their experiences orderly, comprehensible and comparable with those of other observers. On the other hand, the observer who does not possess the standardized symbols and transformation rules will be strongly individuated, his or her experiences will be confusing and incompatible with the experiences of others; he or she will be continually surprised by events, and most likely will believe that "reality" is not orderly or rule governed, but is a place where events happen spontaneously and unpredictably; experiences will seem vague and indefinite, incapable of precise measure. This is, of course, an apt description of our current understanding of human cognition, and social and cultural processes.

ĩ

and a start start of the start te and the second return of the second test of the second states and the second states and the second states a adina and a second to a sec An a second to a second to be the second to A second to a second to a second to a second to the second to

, na karnez karzen zel enzi en az az nendi yene en enzeller. Enzeren nabe Pronentiko en eret eza esci Peszien M Annezet enzeret eta enzeret eta enzelleret eta enzelleret eta enzelleret eta enzeret eta enzeret eta enzelleret Annezet eta enzeret eta enze

nadis. Ac llase am ela com este acesto en coco cor, col con o el est est volt volt se en el est

and the state of the second is yah to this is also related to me at ship

617

ing of the statement of the fit

n der gefür für flotternen tille er a sed och binger i somer vird it 1999 – Andrea State i St the maps with a state the top we be been a the second second Calanya shi or futerea shi relawa yar ar te i and the second 11、11月1日期,11月1日) 11月1日日 - 11月1日日 15 Address of the state of the - the second second ymme and a state of the second second $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j$

and the property of the set of the Carlo de Processa de Persone investo

and and adding the second states of the second states of the second states of the second states of the second s 化二乙烯酸二乙烯酸盐医磷酸盐 建装饰的 法联合 a en la servicia de la companya de l La companya de la comp

and the second of the second of the

-17-

L H

Part I described science as a collective process which acts to establish consensus among observers and over time about their observations. To be compared across observers and over time, observations must be encoded into symbols and communicated, a process which introduces additional uncertainty into the measurement/comparison process. To reduce this noise, scientists have developed specialized languages which consist of (a) special sets of symbols, (b) special rules of correspondences, and (c) special rules of combinations or transformations which relate observations across observers and time.

Part II of this essay will illustrate this process with a theory of cognitive processes.

A. Definitions

We assume that individuals encode observations into symbols, combine and store the symbols in some way and compare them with other persons and across time by means of language. These processes are cognitive processes. Science, by this definition, is a cognitive process, although a collective cognitive process to be sure. Gauging the state of one's health across the years is also a cognitive process, as is determining one's own political position from day to day. Collective cognitive processes (or cultural processes) are those cognitive processes resulting from the coordinated activity of a system of individual cognitive processes, like science or ensemble music or election of government officials.

The primary symbol system underlying cognitive processes is assumed to be the vernacular language. Relatively invariant complexes of observations -18-

- <u>1</u>......

Server in the server englished in the gap of the (A) which is the set of an effective set of the set neer ward of the straight of the star star star star being the set of the set of the set of the set of the star Next the start of the set of the set of the start of the st a straight an the straight with the understere de sons α αυζερι et al composition en la grant de grant de grant de la composition de la 1119 et de la settemposition - Approximately containing a sector of the international contract of the contact o of the contact oo the co n 1939 en selen selen en son sen sen sen de seren de seren en son bon en selen seren selen en selen en seren s Na en sen seren regen en selen en selen en sen en seren en seren selen en selen en selen en seren seren seren s aronder of Maatier each to conside a contract statement, a consideration and a statement of the statement nya nyaé kabupanéné karang kalèné nyeé pangalan ang karanan sa karé bagéné bakané karé na karé and the second second second as the second of the second s a de la classe, dan al ava david a caracter de la caracter de la composition de la composition de la composi-raiadores de line completent de la caracteristica de la composition de la completencia de la completencia de la sen naar in en all'in asserile, and mono pro a classificatio Boot stander in int £440 as fart Haridenne od filip anda o contra aren. E e interneti denne et arene stil perm a in the second state of the second and a second and a second state of the second state of the second second se A second state of the second second second second second second second second state of the second state of the s กลังประโยบัติสารณ์ที่มีปฏิจากสารแบบการชื่อไป แบบเหลือ การสุดจาก พ.ศ. 1777 สารณาการสุดสุดสุดสุดสุดสุด เพราะ พระสะคณใช้สุดการแบบการสารไป การแก่งการสุดความสารการสารสุดความสาร newersele of the second experiment of the second of the second second second second between the second second s and the many sector is the sector of the ander en de la service de la companya de la company La decargementa de la companya de la 人名法法法格格特 化过分化过去分词 法法的过去式和过去分词 计分词的复数形式 法法法的 法法 建铁 化硫酸化铁 化化化铁

PART II

Theory

en en 1999, se l'esta a en avaista d'antis esta esta esta perez demona especial parte parte esta esta en esta La esta diserce esta den as

, en le sensale l'est constation d'éleviséténées construction sont constances pour constance d'aut partieurs constant services des landaires constanteurs de désent décembres aux sons services d'élevisétéres d'autéries d

Sec. 1

are symbolized by certain vernacular language words like "red" or "hard" or "disappointed." These several complexes themselves are perceived to differ from each other in some ways; in fact, the minimal comparison between two observations that can be reported is the dichotomous discrimination of difference vs. no difference. The differences or separations among the symbols are considered primitive or fundamental variables in the theory. Any concept in the language has a meaning which is given by its pattern of similarities and differences with the other concepts. Change in these separations over time therefore represents change in meaning or definition of concepts. These changes are cognitive processes.

<u>1. The symbol set</u>. The first step in measurement is the stipulation of a symbol set. We choose the set of positive real numbers (see Suppes and Zinnes, 1973) for several reasons. First, since the set is infinite, there is no minimal interval size as with a finite set like, for example, the semantic differential seven-interval scale. Moreover, the real number system is systematic, forming new symbols by rules; a very large set of transformations in the set (like addition and multiplication, for example) are well known, and a very large set of people are already familiar with elements of the real numbers--far more than are familiar with most other psychometric devices, for example.

2. Rules of correspondence. The second requirement of measurement is the establishment of a clear, consensual and unambiguous rule for establishing correspondences between observations and symbols. We choose, following Einstein (1961) and others (Campbell, 1928; Ellis, 1966; Hays, 1967; Suppes and Zinnes, 1963; Krantz, <u>et al.</u>, 1972) a ratio rule. First, an arbitrary element of the set of observations to be measured is designated as a unit standard against which all other observations are to be

-19-

X. Martin and M. S. Martin M. S. Martin and S. Samara and Samar Samara and Samar Samara and Sa Samara and Sama

compared. As we noted earlier, the primitive observations of cognitive processes are the separations among concepts, and one of these separations is chosen as a standard; other separations are compared to this standard as ratios. (A typical format for the use of this rule in actual practice is given in Appendix One). Formally, the rule is expressed as a conditional statement "if a and b are <u>u</u> units apart, how far apart are x and y?" In the present case, "far apart" is defined to mean "different in meaning" so that increasing numbers represent pairs of concepts of increasingly different meaning. Formally the rule requires that a pair of concepts S a.b. whose difference in meaning is perceived to be double that of another pair should be represented by a separation double that of the second pair, or $S_{a_i b_i} = 2S_{a_i b_i}$. Furthermore, no formal restriction on how small a difference may be reported is established by the scaling procedures: limitations of precision are given by the observational capabilities of the observer and not the scale in which such differences are reported. It is important to understand that the use of a precise scale does not itself guarantee precise measurement, since the actual process by which the scale is employed can add or subtract from precision. Because the length of a bridge, for example, is reported in ratio numbers -- say, meters -- does not guarantee that the measurements have been carefully made. But the use of an imprecise scale--like a semantic differential scale--is sufficient to limit precision of measure. Whatever imprecision of measure may exist within this system is not a consequence of the imprecision of the scale in which measurements are reported, however, and this is a crucial advantage. Once accomplished, these procedures make possible a mathematically precise definition of the meaning of any concept: since each concept is defined by its relative similarity to all other concepts, any concept C¹ is

-20-

a second a s Second a seco terations and the second se The second sec n alam na sana ang kanang n de la Maria de Maria. En la Maria de Maria de Carlos de Carlos de la Maria de Carlos de Carlos de Las deservos de la maria de la mari and a second linghae <mark>Bande</mark>n - Medici Lingh, en<mark>ige</mark> Banger, : Tude San Anno 1997 - San Anno 1 $= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}$ (a) http://www.sectorem.com/action/ n <mark>- An Angelander (</mark>1997) - An Andreas (1997) - An Andreas (1997) - An Andreas (1997) - An Andreas (1997) - Andreas an <mark>de sensita de la companya de la co De la companya de la c</mark> (A) High part of the state o n were en 1999 als selecter des sons and the s A sons a sons and the させ 教授 ダイン・アイト きょうちょう したい したいきゃく 大夫が しょか

a sent de mais a marte de la seta de la seta de la seconda de la seconda de la seconda de la seconda de la sec A seconda de la seconda de er state and the second second state of the second s na se en la seconda de la s La seconda de la seconda de

defined by the 1 x (k-1) vector of separations from the k-1 other concepts. The interrelationships among any subset of k concepts is similarly given by the k x k matrix S of separations among the k concepts. Similarly, the cultural meanings of the n concepts defined by a culture is given by the n x n matrix S of separations among the n concepts averaged across members of the culture.

The Geometry of Separation. The concept of a geometry of separation capitalizes on the recognition that physical distance is viewed as a special case of separation in general, and thus is isomorphic to conceptual separation in formal structure. Therefore, conceptual separations may be presented in a geometrical format analogous to the depiction of physical distance; the separations in the matrix \overline{S} may be arrayed in a geometrical pattern. Consider the matrix:

аbс $\overline{S} = a \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ Ъ 0 0 0 c 0 0 0 Here, since $\overline{S}_{ab} = \overline{S}_{ac} = \overline{S}_{bc} = 0$, the three concepts lie on a point in a zero (0) dimensional space. In the matrix: a b c a 0 1 3 b 1 0 2 c 3 2 0 the separations form a line segment in a one-dimensional space which may be geometrically arrayed as the following pattern:

-21-

. National and an and a second secon and a weather with the production of the second where ψ is a subscript of ψ is the second second second second second states ψ is the second in faan ann al li sin an multi e la afar beaar built e litter a 🖱 aanalis bar.

and the same first work and the second second standard and the second second second second second second second . Ne Brancia a la Butaliza de Caracita da la companya de la Brancia e alta de la companya de Caracita de Caracit anna a choireann a chailean ach na choireann a' choireann a' choireann agus ann an choireann an seo an seo - Har - Martin Content and the second of the second state and the second s and the second and deplote at building the Provention and I prover and the reader t is not all the block of the second

> 22 an an Ar a' ga and the second second

 τ with the least of the set of the end of the set of τ of τ , τ - Alexandro - A

> 1 . S. B. .

or the column source consultance in some of strangers, sould be appended source sources sources Interview distribution of a star for the vicence of the destruction





represents a triangle in a two-dimensional Euclidean space.



And finally consider the matrix \overline{S} that extends outside the real number domain:

 $\overline{S} =$

This geometrical pattern represents a complex, non-Euclidean space of 2 dimensions; one real and one imaginary dimension. The translation of conceptual separations into a geometrical configuration will produce a spatial configuration of r dimensions, where r is always one or more less than the number (k) of conceptions judged ($r \ge k-1$). 3. Transformation rules. Among the most important transformation rules are those which describe the symbolic operations by which observations are transformed to correspondence across observers and over time, since

-22------ 3 units ------>

> Ъ С 2.24 1. 2 2 0

2.24 units

2 0

 Provide the second se Second seco and a second

and a company of the contraction of the spectrum of the model of the spectrum of t

and conversion of a negative of the second constraints and have been as and see a deliver endiseries. The set of the she have been been been been been been an la sie an anno bail an affrica à garle à l'aire all'an statut a statut faire an

these are the transformations by which information is conveyed among individuals. Since the primitive data of the theory consist in the matrix of reported separations S or \overline{S} , we will be particularly interested in transformation rules which preserve these separations. Restricting ourselves to transformations which preserve the raw separations guarantees that the data are never distorted. In this way, data provided by measurements may never be "tampered with" and remain the final arbiter of theory.

a. Frame of reference. Once the observations have been encoded into the symbols of the theory we may begin to compare them across observers and over time to discover invariances. The first step in this comparison process is to transform those observations into a convenient frame of reference (Goffman, 1975; Halliday and Resnick, 1966). While the concept of reference frame has occupied an important place in virtually every social science (and in physics) it has generally resisted precise quantitative treatment in the social sciences. Since this theory is founded on a fundamental variable (separation) which is formally homomorphic with physical distances, it is possible to make use of mathematical procedures developed to establish physical reference systems to generate reference frames for cognitive processes. The procedures used here were developed originally by Jacobi (1846) and subsequently reestablished for psychological data by Young and Householder (1938) and Torgerson (1958) under the name metric multidimensional scaling. First, the matrix of separations S is centered and premultiplied by its transpose to give the scalar product matrix B

 $\mathbf{b}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{k} & \mathbf{k} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma} & \mathbf{S}^{2} \\ \mathbf{i}=1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{k} & \mathbf{k} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma} & \mathbf{S}^{2} \\ \mathbf{j}=1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{k} & \mathbf{k} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma} & \mathbf{S}^{2} \\ \mathbf{i}=1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{k} & \mathbf{k} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma} & \mathbf{S}^{2} \\ \mathbf{i}=1 \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{S}^{2}_{ij}$

which is then reduced by the Jacobi procedure* to an orthogonal matrix of

-23-

* This procedure is formally identical to a complete principle-components factor analysis of the B matrix. It differs from typical factoranalytic procedures in that (1) the input matrix consists of ratio-scaled scalar products rather than correlations, and (2) all the factors are extracted rather than just a subset. This means that the original distances

may be regenerated from R with no error.

Eigenvectors R. The matrix R represents a rectilinear coordinate system upon which the concepts are projected as vectors. For k concepts, the matrix R is always k x r where $r \leq k-1$. Each column* vector R, of R represents one dimension of the space and is orthogonal to all other columns. Each row of R represents the position vector Rⁱ of the ith concept in the space (Davis and Snider, 1975).

No information is lost by this transformation, nor, of course, is any created. Since the set of reference vectors upon which the concepts are now projected is orthonormal, however, mathematical treatment of processes among the concepts is substantially simplified, since vector equations defined on rectilinear coordinates take on a very convenient algebraic form. While this rectilinear coordinate system shares important characteristics with the familiar three-dimensional rectilinear coordinate system of classical mechanics, it differs in two important ways, both consequences of the empirically-derived structure of the concepts measured to date. First, the rank or dimensionality of the space is higher than 3, although the exact rank may vary across concept domains and across time, as well as across individuals. Second, the space is almost always found to be non-Euclidean. In spatial terms, non-Euclidean spaces are warped or bent; in

cognitive terms, non-Euclidean separation patterns represent inconsistencies among conceptions.

Non-Euclidean geometric structure is represented in the Galileo configuration by negative characteristic roots (eigenvalues) in the R matrix; negative eigenvalues indicate imaginary components of the eigenvectors

* It will be convenient later to denote column vectors of R with subscripts and rowvectors of R with superscripts.

andre met de la participation de la provincia d La provincia de ngel in ettersprotestigt gerören in en stigt generationen in einen sind stationen er etter in ettersprotestigt Andere stationen ettersprotestigt generationen in einen stationen einen einen einen ettersprotestigt generation and have been standard to be an an and the second standard to be a second to be a second to be a second to be a an Manazzar a second second plana a la seconda de la s Calendar de la Aria de la seconda de la se n en sen de la sen de la compañía de la sen en en entre de la sen de la sen de la sen de la sen de sen de sen La sen de la n an an Arthur ann an Arthur an Arthur a An Arthur ann an Arthur ann an Arthur an Arthur ann an Arthur ann an Arthur ann an Arthur ann an Arthur an Arthu n an the second s and when the second (a) a specific data in the product of the second s second seco

 -24-

A. The Low Control of States of the Control of Control Press, and the Control of Control of Market, Market States and the Arter of Control o

and general destructions of the contract of the contract of the second of the secon

(a) the set of the second set of the second second to the second terms of the set of the second term and the second term second set of the second second second second terms to the second second second second second second terms as a term term of the second terms as a term term term second sec

corresponding to these roots, since the eigenvalue is the sum of the squared components, as

(2) $\lambda_{i} =$

While these imaginary components and negative roots were initially considered by many psychometricians to be artifactual or indications of error, their consistent recurrence, stability over time and generally lawful behavior (e.g., they are generally larger in absolute magnitude for domains not clearly understood by or unfamiliar to respondents) seem to indicate they should not be disregarded. Furthermore, they add no essential mathematical difficulties as long as care is taken to preserve their signs during numerical computations.

<u>b.</u> Cross-observer transformations. For any observer, these operations performed across k concepts will yield the k x r matrix R representing a (non-Euclidean) rectilinear coordinate system upon which are projected the k position vectors R^1 , R^2 , ..., R^k . The end points of these vectors, as has been shown, constitute a geometric pattern which corresponds to the interrelations among the concepts as seen by the <u>ith</u> individual.

Comparisons of the observations of two or more observers, once those observations have been encoded into this system, constitute a two step procedure. First, a transformation on one or both of the reference frames must be identified which minimizes the discrepancy among the two or more spaces, while preserving the separations within each. Once this has been accomplished, the resulting matrices may simply be compared by subtraction. These distance-preserving transformations are called rigid motions, and consist of rotations and translations on the coordinates. Translations within the Galileo reference frame are straightforward

-25-

$$\Sigma_{i=1}^{k} (R_{j}^{i})^{2}$$

and the second state of th والمحمد والمحمد والمحمد والمحمد Second Second

. • •

and a setting of the set of a setting of the setting of the set and the second the later is the second and the second second second second second second second second second s A first in success first state from the second state of the state of presence of the second state of where we all all the same facts the area of the second second and the second second second second second second Red Hennend A Mersel and Mersel and Anna Association and a state of the second antenius customet anticus contractores contractores to a succession contractores and a succession of a

andianetra accentence a service con en el service de conservice de service de service de service de service de na παθ¹⊈ακαγκαστα ^{τη} πλασφαρία τους του βουλουτείο εξία στρασιματό με το πορογκοικοτοια. aft broth feels and a later and an a second and a second and the later of the second second second second second and a service of the second and a state of the contract of the and contract of the contract of the contract of the second contract of the seco . An exemption when the state of the second second

amore and a more reaction and the contract of the second second second second and the same and so we as the theory of several of the second and the second and the second days and and the second second of a light of the second s where we are not the providence of the second discretion which and the case and a state of the second of the state of the second second second second second second second second and introduce we have only an operation of the second second second second second second by the Lephones Ann condition shall be first and to discover and material water and the party contentions a car a contention of the cost of the distance

And the They Excellence and a contract of a fillence with the construction of the second of the seco

extensions of translations in the 3 space common to ordinary physical conception. First, some arbitrary concept* R¹ is chosen, and its position vector is subtracted from the position vectors of all concepts in the space such that

Since $R_i - R_i = 0$ (the null vector) this has the effect of placing the ith concept on the origin of the reference frame. This procedure is carried out for the reference frame of each person in the comparison, so that the reference frames of each observer are centered on the same concept. Next, the two coordinate frames are rigidly rotated to a least-squares best fit on each other. This rotation is accomplished by successive pairwise rotation of the eigenvectors until the total squared distance of concepts from their counterparts across observers is minimized (Woelfel et al., 1975). Since we are concerned only with those transformations which preserve the original separations, rotations must be carried out separately for the positive eigenvectors and the negative eigenvectors. This is required since distance is not invariant under rotation of complex numbers,

and is permitted since each of the positive eigenvectors is orthogonal to each of the negative eigenvectors.

 $R_{i}' - R_{i}' = \Delta$ (4) u.

-26-

R^j - Rⁱ

Once these operations have been carried out for any two persons they yield the transformed matrices R_i' and R_i' for the ith and jth individuals. Comparison of spaces is now given straightforwardly by the subtraction

* A very useful procedure is the inclusion of the concept "me" or "myself" in the concept set, since the translation described here can then result in a coordinate system centered on the individual's concept of self

or the cultural self for the aggregate.

where the matrix A represents the difference between the cognitive structures of the ith and jth individuals. Any row δ^{i} of Δ represents the between the same word as used by the ith and jth person.

c. Over-time transformations. The description of process in the Galileo framework involves essentially the comparison of a time-ordered series of individual coordinate frames $R_{\pm 0}$, $R_{\pm 1}$, ..., $R_{\pm n}$ or aggregate coordinate frames \overline{R}_{t0} , \overline{R}_{t1} , ..., \overline{R}_{tn} . As is well known in physical science, there exists no single "privileged" coordinate system against which absolute changes may be measured, and the situation is no different in cognitive space. As is clear from the nature of the procedure by which the Galileo coordinate frames are constructed, the orientations of the eigenvectors of any time frame are functions of the state of the configuration at that time, and therefore any change in the configuration over time will result in an artifactual reorientation of the reference axes(eigenvectors). This is equivalent to comparing motions across reference frames which may be "tumbling" (i.e., in non-uniform rotation and translation) relative to each other. The first step in making comparisons, therefore, is a series of rotations and translations as described above to bring the timeseries of coordinate systems into best-fit with each other (Woelfel et al., 1975). Several such procedures are possible. First, if no information

an an the second second second second and the second second second second second second second second second s and the president and a war for the second , is should be the second of and a second an a baile and a second or each second and a second of the second of the second second second second second sec Here are a second sec i - arestancesta (1894 H. 2016) 🖞 🧐 🖓

and the second an a management of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second s and the second second

-27-

difference between the definition of the kth concept as seen by the ith and jth persons within a now common reference frame.* The length $|\delta^{k}|$ of any row vector of Δ represents the distance between or difference in meaning

* For an interesting alternative procedure for the comparison of individual cognitive structures, see Marlier, 1974, 1976. Marlier's procedure involves the projection of the individual cognitive spaces of a series of individuals into an aggregate space based on the average separation matrix S, after which individual differences can be estimated by near regression techniques. Marlier is able to account for over 72% of the differences in

individual perceptions with this model.

other than that contained within the matrices at each time period is available, rotation and translation to simple least-squares best fit across the time series is appropriate. If additional constraints can be determined on other grounds (as, for example, might be the case if the observer were to know that some of the concepts had been implicated in messages across a time interval and others had not) some of the concepts might be differentially weighted into the minimization procedure or even left as free parameters, as is described in detail elsewhere (Noelfel et al., 1975). One such strategy might be to translate the origin of the reference frame onto the concept of self (the "me") at each time interval, then rotate the spaces serially to a least-squares best fit on those concepts the individual herself or himself reports as relatively unchanging across the time interval measured. For an equivalent cultural solution, the aggregate "me" might be set at the origin of the collective space, and least-squares criteria applied to those concepts collectively judged stable over time. The resulting process would represent the individual cognitive processes or collective cultural processes as seen respectively by the individual himself or herself, or by the culture as a whole. What is most important, however, is the understanding that the description of the processes -- and hence the "laws of nature"--within the spaces will be altered by different choices of a rotation scheme, and that there exists no "correct" choice. Once a choice has been made, however, processes will be wholly determined by observations (data) within that framework, and will be the same for all observers who utilize the same rotation scheme. Within this consensus it makes sense to say the processes are observed and laws are discovered; the consensus itself, however, is created by the observers and not discovered.

· · · · · · and the second and it was to go by HATES A STATE DB HARD The start of the second start of the lada a Properties The second second second second ann an Ar Suite Iomraidh anns an Suite An and a second s the second $(\sigma_{\mu}, \delta_{\mu}) = \delta_{\mu} \left[- \delta_{\mu} \left[\delta_{\mu} + \delta_{\mu} \right] + \delta_{\mu} \left[\delta_{\mu} + \delta_{\mu} \right] +$ and the second second second second second and the second

a series and a series of the series of the

-28-

Velocity and acceleration: Once a stable reference frame has been defined (by whatever means) it becomes a simple matter to describe cognitive processes relative to that frame. At any instant, the definition of a concept i is given by its location in the reference frame, which in turn is given by its position vector R. Changes in the meaning of any concept will be given by a change in location, or a change in the position vector ΔR . For any interval of time Δt , therefore, the average rate of change of meaning or average velocity is given by $\Delta R/\Delta t$. At any instant in time this velocity will be given by the derivative $V_{+} = \frac{dR}{d+}$. In the space of reference, R is given by its r components

(5)
$$R = R_1 + R_2$$

Since the reference vectors are orthogonal in the Galileo reference frame. the partial derivatives are linearly additive, giving

(6)
$$V_t = \frac{dR_1}{dt} + \frac{dR_2}{dt} + \dots + \frac{dR_r}{dt} = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{dR_i}{dt}$$

Equation (6) represents the direction and rate at which a given concept is changing in meaning at an instant t. This rate itself may change over time. and this change in the rate of change is formally an acceleration, which is given by the second derivative

(7)
$$\alpha_{t} = \frac{d^{2}R_{1}}{dt^{2}} + \frac{d^{2}R_{2}}{dt^{2}} + \ldots + \frac{d^{2}R_{p}}{dt^{2}} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{d^{2}R_{i}}{dt^{2}}$$

It is these accelerations that require explanation according to the discussion in Part One, and so they are of particular importance. Nevertheless it is important to understand that the accelerations will turn out differently if different rotation and translation strategies are employed earlier in the analysis, and so also, therefore, will the laws which account

. A start de la service de la construction de la construction de la construction de la construction de la constru A service de la construction de la c المربعين المربعين والحالي المربعين المربعين المربعين المربعين المربعين المربعين المربعين المربعين المربعين الم المربعين الم

- ante en la superior de la companya La companya de la comp

- n en energi en l'altre de la companya de la company La companya de la comp and a state of the state of the
- and a start way that is the the test of the test of
- a second a s Second de la second a second a
- - and the second second
- n stan entre della mettiona di la calencia di successi a construccióne da construccióne de la construcción est La calenciativa della mettiona di calencia di construccióne la construccióne da construccióne de la companyation
 - aged big a since a ser and a series of the series and a se
- n an the second sec The second sec
- the second state of the se yr mei fel e sa
- a a star a s A star a star
- (e_{1},e_{2})
- and the second second second
- 1949 - 1946 - 1947 - 1948 - 1947 - 1947 - 1947 - 1947 - 1947 - 1947 - 1947 - 1947 - 1947 - 1947 - 1947 - 1947 -1947 - 1947 - 1947 - 1948 - 1947 - 1947 - 1947 - 1947 - 1947 - 1947 - 1947 - 1947 - 1947 - 1947 - 1947 - 1947 -
- a Mineria de Barrela de Antonio de A Mineria de Barrela de Antonio de

-29-

$$+ R_{3} + ... + R_{r}$$

for them. This suggests an additional strategy for such transformation decisions: for completely practical reasons, those distance-preserving transformations should be chosen which produce the simplest laws of motion within the cognitive reference frame.

4. Explanations of cognitive processes. The equations developed in the previous section are powerful descriptive tools, and many even more powerful descriptive equations can be found in physics, engineering and mathematics books dealing with mechanics and vector and tensor analysis, as long as one is careful to generalize those equations to r dimensions while paying careful attention to the signs of the roots corresponding to the dimensions. The implication that equations for cognitive processes may be found in physics books has generally been viewed with a combination of suspicion and alarm by social scientists on the grounds that psychological or cultural processes are not analogous to physical processes. These arguments are not germane here, since the equations listed do not predict or require any specific processes in the cognitive reference frames, but simply describe those processes whatever they may be. That such equations can describe processes within this system is not an empirical question, but simply a formal consequence of the arbitrary distance rule chosen. The question at issue is not whether equations which describe the processes observed in the system can be found, but rather whether those equations, once found, are sufficiently simple to allow predictability greater than that obtainable with ordinary language. To the extent that such equations yield patterned regularities they will yield such increased predictive power. As we suggested earlier, such patterned regularities, or invariances, once named, constitute scientific laws valid within the reference system. To

 Scherker Steiner einer Steiner einer ei einer einer

 -30-

and the second secon a second a second s

and the second secon and the second secon and the second and the first second a second an provide the second and the second a general a general ageneration and a second state of the terrar and the second and the second second second se and the second standard and the second of the second standard and the second standard second and the second state of the water of the water begins a second of the second $(2, 2, 2) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, $(2, 2) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ the second encounter and the station of the second n del verse servición de la complete de la servición de la complete de la complete de la complete de la del ser د. ما موجد الما ما های المان المان المان المان المان المان معامله محمله محمله محمد محمله معام معام مراجع المان الم a na ana ang kanang na kanang kana Kanang kanang

illustrate what such laws might look like in this system, consider the following example:

Figure Three represents the (hypothetical) outcome of measurements of the dissimilarities or separations among six persons as they appear to some sample of observers. The separations among the persons which the respondents observe have been translated into numbers by the ratio distance rule (p.) resulting in the separation matrix S_k for each observer, then averaged across observers to yield the matrix \overline{S} with elements

 $\overline{S}_{ij} = \sum_{ijk}^{n} S_{ijk}/r$

matrix $\frac{R}{kxr}$ where

where oⁱ = 0,i≠j

The position of each individual in the space R is given by the position vector R^{i} whose magnitude $\rho_{i} = / \sum_{j=1}^{r} (R_{j}^{i})^{2}$ where $R_{j}^{i} = \text{the } j \underline{\text{th}}$ component of the ith position vector. Each column vector R, represents a reference vector orthogonal to each other reference vector (eigenvector) whose length is given by

 $\rho_{i} = \sqrt{\lambda_{i}} =$

* i.e., all $r \leq k-1$ roots have been extracted.

-31-

which represents the average separation between the ith and jth person as perceived by the n observers. This matrix \overline{S} has been factored completely* to yield the rectilinear spatial coordinate system represented by the

 $B = R\delta R'$

$$\sqrt{\frac{k}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} R_{ij}^{2}}}$$

where λ_{i} is the jth root of the characteristic equation for B.

After several repeated measures, assume we have established that the concepts (people in this example) signified by the R¹ are not in motion relative to one another, i.e., $R_{t_1}^i - R_{t_0}^i = 0$ for all R^i across all time intervals Δt measured. Assume further that, at this point in time, all n observers receive a message which says, in English, "Sally and Charles are similar." s,

Subsequently a series of additional measures across time are taken. We now must make several assumptions, each of which may be falsified by the observations if they fail. First, we may assume that the message will result in some change in the configuration of vectors \boldsymbol{R}_{i} . If this is so, the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of B will be different for the post-message measurements than for the pre-message measures. These differences may be certified within probability parameters by standard statistical procedures; correlations of corresponding eigenvectors across time may be statistically non-unity; cannonical correlations of the R, across Δt may be statistically non-unity by Chi-Square criteria; mean differences between position vectors may be statistically significant by ANOVA procedures, etc. Row interactions and row x column interactions in N way repeated-measures analysis of variance may be performed on either the coordinates of R or the distance matrix \overline{S} to determine whether specific concepts or specific pairs of concepts are differentially affected by the message. See Gillham and Woelfel. 1975; Woelfel et al., 1975.

Secondly, we might assume that only the concepts (persons) referred to

and the second second

na an an taona an taona an an taona an an taona Taona amin' amin - 探索理論では、 またいがくなっていた。 しょうしょう and the second · "我们是你们的你们,我们们的你们,你们们不知道我们的你们们的你们,你们们们们们的你们,你们们不能不能不知道你?""你们,你们不能不能不能。" and the second second

and the set of the set And a second decay in the second s and the first and present that is not a set of the second state of the second state of the second state of the

and the second of the second en en el calendaramper pla activitado e contra contra atamética e a que contra el contra de contra de contra d where is not the Carlos where a second second second second states and second second second second second second

and the second state of the state of the

-32-

an an the second se $\frac{\partial g_{\rm ext}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial g_{\rm ext}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial g_{\rm ext}}{\partial t}$

. .

· · · · ·

the state of the

an de la companya de la comp

na sena de la composición de la composi En esta de la composición de la composic

na na serie de la serie de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la verte de la verte de la La verte de la verte de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la verte de la verte de la vert

and and the first state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the state of the state of

in the message will be directly affected by the message. If this is true, then a rotation and translation" of the coordinates across any interval of time could be found for which all differences $R_{jt_1}^i - R_{jt_2}^i = 0$ where neither i nor j are concepts implicated in the message, but where $R_{jt_1}^i - R_{jt_0}^i$ does not equal zero if both i and j are mentioned in the message. $\begin{pmatrix} R^{i} & -R^{i} \\ jt & jt_{0} \end{pmatrix}$ may or may not be zero if either i or j but not both are mentioned in the message.) This transformation is given by translating both R_t and R_t to an origin at the centroid of those concepts thought to be unaffected by the message (or on one of those concepts itself), and rotating about this origin until the squared distances among the hypothetically stable concepts are at a minimum. If the hypothesis is correct, these differences will be zero by statistical criteria, while the distances between the manipulated concepts will be non-zero by the same criteria. If this hypothesis is false, no such rotation can be found. A stronger version of the hypothesis would predict not only motion vs. stability, but also the direction and magnitude of such motion. Mature, trustworthy hypotheses about the direction and magnitude of resultant motion can only be made after many careful observations within the system, but initial guesses based on our understanding of the meanings of English words and their effects can provide useful starting points.

* We are restricted to rotations and translations since these "rigid motions" preserve distances (separations) within time periods.

-33-

The meaning of the English words in statement S, imply that the observer has overestimated the separation between Sally and Ralph. If, in general, people attempt to comply with the meaning of the message -- i.e., adjust their view in the direction of the view expressed in the message -- then, in

which is given by

 $\frac{m_1}{m_2} = \frac{\Delta}{\Delta}$

We seek now to determine some distance-preserving transformation such that the ratios of the respective $|\Delta R^{i}|$'s remains invariant across repeated messages and over time or in which the ratios of the $|\Delta R^{1}|$'s are known functions of some measurable events. Such an outcome would be an inertial reference frame, and within this frame, the known values of the ratios of the $|\Delta R^{i}|$'s constitutes valuable information about the differential magnitude of the response of the R¹'s to messages. Strict confirmation of the hypothesis that the message may be represented as a force vector on a line through the two concepts in the message by an observed angle of

 $180^{\circ} = co$

to within statistical criteria. Strict confirmation of the inertial hypothesis is given by the criterion

e a participa de la composición de la c

and the second secon and a start of the s A start of the start o

and the second secon n territori en la seconda de la substancia de la seconda de la seconda de la seconda entre entre entre entre e La substancia de la seconda and the second and the second a fight of a second spectral fight of the fight of the second second spectrum in the second s

and the second second of the second secon a with a construction of the second second second second n de la service de la companya de l La companya de la comp

n and a second secon The second sec

-34-

general, the distance between Sally and Ralph should be reduced by receipt of the message. This relative motion may be differentially attributed to R_1 (Sally) and R_2 (Ralph) in Figure 3. By convention, the force of this message may be defined as the sum of the vectors F_1 and F_2 where $F_1 = -F_2$. Since by definition the force F is equally attributed to each concept R,, differential displacement along the $R^{i} - R^{i}$ vector must therefore be attributed to characteristics of the Rⁱ. That quality of the Rⁱ which differentially resists acceleration (or displacement) is called inertial mass.

$$s^{-1}\left(\frac{R^{j} \cdot R^{i}}{|\Delta R^{j}| |\Delta R^{i}|}\right)$$





and the state of the second second

and water the state of the state of the



separations among 6 people.

- **1**-





∆R' ΔRJ Δr^k for all values of i, j and k.

A yet more complex hypothesis might suggest a useful combination rule. We might hypothesize, for example, that English sentences add like vectors, i.e., the meaning of the English sentences "Sally is friendly" and "Sally is helpful" is given by



time t Sally will be closest in meaning to Paula, i.e., $|R^{s}-R^{3}|$ = min at p. This minimum distance is given by

(10)
$$|\mathbb{R}^3| \sin \left(\cos^{-1} \left[\frac{\mathbb{R}^3 \cdot \mathbb{R}^{1^s}}{|\mathbb{R}^3| |\mathbb{R}^{1^s}|} \right] \right) = S_3^s \min.$$

and the distance $|PR^3|$ is given by

-36-

If sentences add like vectors, then the resultant vector $R'^{s} = R^{1} - R^{2}$ can be considered a single message vector R'^S resulting in Rs moving along the R'S vector with an acceleration a inversely proportional to Ms; at



bination of the component messages R^1 and R^2 weighted to a best fit nth order multiple regression check

(12)
$$R'^{S} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{j=1}$$

These hypotheses also are easily falsified, requiring yet more complexities to be allowed in the theory. The β_i 's, for example, may be dependent on factors like distance, mass, etc., which will require more complex hypotheses. The important point, however, is to illustrate that the rejection of hypotheses leads directly to the development of successively more accurate if perhaps more complicated descriptions of processes, and correspondingly more complicated hypotheses which correspond to observations to within increasingly better approximations.

Once the system has been set into motion, it iteratively improves its fit to observations while providing a consensus among observers within which this increasing pool of comparable observations may be interchanged. The result is a tendency toward individually and collectively enhanced observational capacities, reasoning ability and access to information for those who use the system.



$$- = |\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{S}} - \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{3}}|$$
 min.

These hypotheses can be tested unambiguously given the regression ${R'}^{s}$ = $\beta_1 R^1 + \beta_2 R^2 + e$ where $\beta_1 R^1 + \beta_2 R^2$ is the \hat{R}^1 predicted value of the comagainst the observed result R'^S. The 's represent those characteristics of the Rⁱ which influence their resistance to change of meaning, and the inertial hypothesis suggests that the ratios of these 's to each other should remain invariant within the inertial frame. These results generalize immediately to the n-vector resultants of combinations of n sentence and the

Once an inertial reference frame has been stipulated, hypotheses consist of statements about the forces generated by different events in the inertial frame. Failure of these hypotheses (e.g., the hypothesis which suggests a message-like S¹ will result in forces along the vector connecting the concepts linked in the message, which in turn results in motion only along this vector) requires stipulation of an additional force (in this case, acting to produce motion out of the anticipated vector represented by e in (12)). Research must then uncover observed events in the frame which correspond to the residual force vector inferred by the motion out of the predicted vector.

3

de de de la seconda de la A de la seconda de la second La seconda de and the second in 1999 - Standard Carlos Constanting and Standard Standards Standard Stan And Arthree and Arthree and orean and a second s International second second

•

ระบบการและสาราร์ (การแก่งการแก่งการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและสาร การและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการ การและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการ การและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการและการ

a a channa a chuir an tao a

and the second second

e Martin y en mar anno a search an 1994 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 - 1995 -1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 -가락되는 것을 가지만 가락되었다. 그는 것은 가지는 가격을 가지 않는 것으로 가지 않는 것으로. 가락 가지 않는 것을 가지만 가지 않는 것을 알았는 것을 알았는 것을 하는 것을 가지만 것을 가락해야 한다. 것을 가지만 것을 가지만 것을 같은 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 같을 같은 것을 같은 것은 것은 것을 하는 것을 같은 것을 같은 것을 알았는 것을 알았는 것을 알았는 것을 알았는 것을 것 같은 것을 알았는 것을 것 같은 것을 같은 것을 같을 것 같이 같을 것 같이 같을 같을 같

and a standard stand Standard stan and the second

-38-

A Current Assessment

Theories are traditionally evaluated in the social sciences on two grounds: the reliability of their measures and the extent to which outcomes predicted by the theory conform to observed outcomes (validity). In terms of these criteria, this theory has proven quite satisfactory. Many careful studies have shown reliabilities above those considered requisite by most social scientists (Barnett, 1976; Marlier, 1976; Cody, 1976; Gilham and Noelfel, 1976). Moreover, outcomes predicted by the theory have been in good conformity with observation. Barnett, Serota and Taylor (1975), interviewed by telephone a small sample of registered voters in a U.S. congressional district to determine the set of concepts they mentioned most frequently while describing an upcoming congressional race. Sixteen of these concepts were included in a "Galileo" questionnaire which was administered to a larger sample and the results entered into an early version of the Galileo computer program. Based on the solution resulting, they advised a little known candidate in his first attempt at public office as to the optimal set of messages he should send to the electorate, to move himself closer to the location of the "me" or average voter's position in the space. Two subsequent measures showed that this message had the desired cognitive effects -- i.e., the candidate moved as predicted. As a consequence, this political newcomer defeated his experienced opponent (the incumbant congressman) with nearly 60% of the vote (Serota, et al., 1977). Similarly, in a later, more sophisticated laboratory experiment, Cody

(1976) entered similar data into the Galileo 3.9 computer program which utilized equations (8) through (12) to determine the optimal message strategy to increase successfully the credibility of two moderately well-known

and a standard and the standard standard and a standard standard standard standard standard standard standard s a series and the series of the se enderste de Meride de Marine en la general de la servicie de la servicie de la servicie de la servicie de la se and the second , see a stand with the stand of the profession we we have been and the standard standard the standard state of 化氯化物 化乙基氨基 化化物化物化 法法法的现在分词 经公司 计输入系统 医静脉炎 化过度分子 化过度分子 化分子分子 化过度过度 serverses dealert labour aforal courses average servers activity responses to the serverse of the when all the due molifiers of the structure control dealer and an one break Lease of terrate

PART III

-39-

All the second second second second Sec. A. A. Alter Sterright 化化结构 人名 an an **-)** arrest and a ne north outerworker to out it to de la add Charles Western Charles Barriers namen (n. 1911), and and an an an an an and the set of a set of the an energy and a set of the second second The state of the s na an indiana any amin'ny amin'ny amin'ny amin'ny tanàna mandritra dia mampiana mandritra dia mampiana dia mampi ne se and and the second s and the second second second second na setter and the set of the set

化合物 化分子放应 医外外菌素 探子 机合成分子机 Sales and a state of the second s

. $-f(x_i) < f(x_i) + f(x_i) < \dots < \infty$ and the second of the second Martin Anna I. Na sere a sere s**i s**i sere s

an an Araba an Araba an Araba an Araba an Araba. Araba an Arab and the state of the state of the state of 化化学学 化合理学 化合理学 Consistence of the second second second

and the second states of the $(2^{-1})^{-1}$ and $(2^{$

The second provides of the second and the benefit with all developments of the second for the second terms of the second second second second sec

(1) 使用的时间。我们们可能把握我的情况。 Collections of the Conservation

 $= \frac{3}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2}$ An an inclusion in the second stand of the second standard standard standard and the second standard standard s and the second of the state of the fact the second

and a second programmer of the statement and the second statements (second statements) (second statements) the second part of the spectra spectra

and ghorid of the Exection of the Child Terry of a statistic property of the second statistics o political candidates. Similar procedures have been used commercially to aid in the diffusion of educational innovations; the formation of a statewide organization for special education; to aid in the reformation of a state educational system and to aid in the sale of commercial products and services. In each of these and other cases, the results haveebeen more precise and informative than those yielded by already proven existing procedures, and their dollar value has greatly exceeded the costs of the research. While the extent to which this system will prove useful in basic attitude-behavior research is still open, Gillham and Moelfel (1976) have shown that it may be used in lieu of much more tedious conventional methods to determine the attributes along which persons are perceived by groups. Barnett (1976) showed that these procedures were able to detect effects of bilingualism on cognitive processing too small to be detected by the most sensitive of conventional scaling methods. Danes (1976) has shown in laboratory experiments that the "inertial mass" hypothesis expressed in the theory (see Saltiel and Woelfel, 1975) accounts for resistance to attitude change far more accurately than plausible conventional models. Marlier (1974; 1976) showed in a laboratory experiment that the set of transformations designated by the theory account very accurately for differences in individual perspectives about railroad nationalization. Brophy (1976) showed that a sizable portion of the variance in perceptions of members of an academic department, as measured by these techniques, could be accounted for by their positions in a communication network. Wakshlag and Edison (1976) showed that these procedures produced mesaures of the credibility of message sources more precise than conventional semantic-differential and factor-analytic models. Fink et al. showed that these procedures provide

precise measures of the differential perceptions of the U.S. power structure

-40-

across levels of socio-economic status. Danes and Woelfel (1975) showed that these techniques produce more reliable information for a given sample size than do traditional ordinal scaling methods. Craig (1975) showed the system produced extremely stable measures of the perceptions persons held about nations, although ambiguities in the persuasive messages he generated from the theory precluded unambiguous tests of its dynamic assumptions in his experiment. Mistretta (1975) showed that the system made accurate predictions about the perceptions of crimes and their penalties consistent with Durkheim's predictions. Barnett (1972) showed that the system yields stable and reliable outcomes even under adverse conditions like crossdomain scaling and across politically turbulent circumstances. Gordon (1976a) showed that these procedures provide accurate measures of the perceptions of radio stations and their program formats precise enough to predict observed listening patterns, and further showed (Gordon, 1976b) that changes in the metric established by the experimenter yield ratio-level changes in scaling outcomes.

This evidence shows that the theory compares quite favorably with other social science theories in terms of traditional reliability and predictability figures. But such data can be seriously misleading, if one considers only the extent to which the measured data provided by the theory are reliably (reproducibly) measured and the outcomes predicted by the theory are confirmed by these observations. Although the measures yielded by the theory are in the range of the reliabilities of traditional theories (or usually somewhat higher) the fineness of gradation of the measures is usually two or more orders of magnitude better, and the quantity of information yielded is proportionately higher. Clearly if one measure provides 100 units of information at 90% reliability and a second provides 10 units

a service of the serv Service of the and the second second and the second and the second $g_{2,2}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{$ a de la seconda de la secon A desembra de la seconda de an and the start way in the start of the second start of the start way in the start of the second start of the weld of energy of the contract of the contract of the second second second second second second second second s and the business of the second sec

the second second e imalitative pour second second da anti-ara ara anti-ara $\frac{1}{2^{2}} \frac{\partial \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{$ Lane steal of the Bost of He Bolds

andar Antonio an concern to the second of the second of

reaction and space and a second second second second second second from the and the second second second second Books where a second second second second second second second second from the second second second second second

a second of a billion a second of second second of the second of a second second second second second second se

and the second to concern the second s

and and Ar Philipping and an and a start from the start of the start of the start from the start for and the start of the Ar

and a second second

verse Wrockie steletowe of the differential terminations of all constructions of all constructions of the

-41-

of information at 90% reliability, the former measure is preferable by an order of magnitude difference.

This same reasoning applies to the confirmation of predicted outcomes. A proper evaluation of the theory in contrast to others should note that, not only are the outcomes predicted by the theory confirmed to smaller tolerances (usually by about a factor of two or more), but the predicted outcomes are themselves far more complicated than those derived from earlier theory. The theory presented here, in other words, predicts outcomes about which earlier theories are generally mute or indecisive, and finds these predictions confirmed within smaller tolerances than the cruder predictions of earlier theories are confirmed by methods appropriate to them. While these experiments support the key premises of the theory, it should be clear from the discussion above that the construction of a useful theory is a lengthy collective social process which requires not only causal hypotheses, but the development of symbol systems, logical roles of combination, measurement rules and a relatively large cadre of trained users even

before information substantial enough to warrant hypothesis formulation can be collected.

Ultimately, any theory is to be judged on the extent to which it makes correct, useful and informative statements about problems of real human interest on the basis of observations which can be made at a cost commensurate with their use value. A good theory, therefore, must make the solution of some class of human problems easier. The more important the problems and the easier and more certain the solutions, the better the theory. On first reading, it may be difficult to see how the tedious equations of the preceding pages can make the solution of human problems easy. In fact, however, once mastered, this system does vastly simplify important

(a) A set of the se $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum$ a da an Anna an Anna an Anna Algebra and the second s Second se Second s Second seco

and the second (a) A strategy (a) and (b) and (c) 이 아이는 것이 같이 아이가 많아요.

一、"你们,你们的你们都是我们是你的你 化二氟酸盐 化二氟化氯化 化试验 建油 医神经间的 建筑管理 and the second sectors. 1119 (1995) - Annewski Angelo and States and Anne and Anne and Anne and Anne and Anne and Anne anne an Anne an An 1995 - Anne an Anne a

and the second state of the second second

の人。 一般の一般の一般の一般の一般の一般の一般の一般の一般である。 「「「「「」」」」」 n an an Marija an Angelan an Angelan da and the second second

and the second rates ditte villige set i bis in a son a set in sedi est i substitute i set and the second state and the second states the

-englisers of the state of the wishismed and the profile of the constance of the Aber and the second field of

mis sub-vectories versions and version and the second of the second second second second second second second s

and the general of the product of the stars. a man have and the town are to we have

an ad rooff functations to an interaction of the term in the first first term

and the second second the second to a second the second to be second to be second to be a second to be a second stan globbed is not control control of the state of the

and the second states of the 100 miles of hitemation of Self File and a second model of a -42-

(2n+1) = (2n+1) + (and the second a series and the series of t and the second second states and the second sec na en la Antonio de la companya de la comp a de la companya de Nome a la companya de and a standard standard with the second standard standard standard standard standard standard standard standard and and the second of the second end of the second of the second of the second s n an an Arran an Arran ann an Arran an An Arran an A and an and the second secon 2024 March Market March March Market - Market M Market Mar Market M Market Ma Market M Market Market Market Market Market Market Market Mar na stransformer and stransformer and stransformer and stransformer and stransformer and stransformer and strans

(a) a set of the s

human activities. Although the derivation of the equations presented earlier was strenuous work, once derived they need not be derived again for each use. In fact, all of them have been encoded into computer software which makes the tedious logical manipulations they entail quite automatic. It will be the pragmatic ease with which this theory can enable us to solve difficult and important problems that determines its ultimate acceptability.

-43-

and the second and the second secon and a second second

Barnett, G. Reliability and metric multidimensional scaling. Unpublished Manuscript, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois, 1972.

Barnett, G. A. Reliability and metric multidimensional scaling. Unpublished research report, Dept. of Communication, East Lansing, Michigan State University.

Barnett, G. A. Bilingual information processing: The effects of communication on semantic structure. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, East Lansing, MSU, 1976.

Barnett, G., Serota, K., & Taylor, J. A method for political communication research. Presented at the Annual Conference of the Association for Education in Journalism, San Diego, California, 1974.

Brophy, M.

Campbell, N. R. An account of the principles of measurement and calculation. London: Longmans Green, 1928.

Campbell, N. R. What is science? New York: Dover, 1921, 1952, p. 110.

Cliff, N. Adverbs as multipliers. Psychological Review, 1959, 66, pp. 27-44.

Cliff, N. Consistenices among judgments of adjective combinations. In Roger N. Shepard, A.K. Romney and S.B. Nerlove. Multidimensional scaling: Applications. New York: Seminar Press, 1974, Vol. 2.

Cody, M. An application of the multiple attribute measurement model: Measurement and manipulation of source credibility. Unpublished M.A. Thesis, Michigan State University, E. Lansing, Michigan, 1976.

Craig, R. An investigation of communication effects in cognitive space. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation; Michigan State University, E. Lansing, 1976.

Danes, J. Mathematical models of communication and belief change: Proportional change, accumulated information and belief certainty. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Michigan State University, E. Lansing, Michigan, 1976.

Davis, H. F., & Snider, A. D. Introduction to Vecor analysis, Boston, Allyn and Bacon, Inc., 1975.

Einstein, A. The meaning of relativity, 5th edition. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 1956.

Einstein, A. Relativity: The special and general theory. New York: Crown, 1961.

Bibliography

n de la companya de A companya de la comp

a de la companya de l A companya de la comp and the second second of the second ere referencia a conserva en anteres e anteres a manda en a segura en anteres a constructura en alteres de la m Parte da constructiva en a segura da construcción de la constructiva en anteres de la constructura da constructu Parte da constructiva en alteres da constructiva en alteres de la constructiva en alteres da constructura en al station de la service de la selection de la selection de la configuración de la selection de la configuración d La selection de la configuración de la configur

. Dependentes de la marine de la contrata de la contrata de la marine de la contrata de la seconda de seconda de Dependentes de la contrata de la factoria de la contrata de la contrata de la contrata de la contrata de la cont

Ellis, B. Basic concepts of measurement. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, 1966. Gilham, J., & Woelfel, J.P. The Galileo system: Preliminary evidence for precision, stability and equivalence to traditional measures. Human Communication Research, Fall, 1976. Gordon, T. Subject abilities to use MDS: Effects of varying the criterion pair. Unpublished research paper, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA.,

1976a.

Gordon T., and Deleo, H.C. Structural variation in "Galileo" space: Effects of varying the criterion pair in multidimensional scaling. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the International Communication Association, Portland, Oregon, 1976.

Hays, W. Quantification in Psychology. Belmont: Brooks-Cole, 1967.

, 1928. Heisenberg,

Hertz, H. The principles of mechanics. New York: Dover Publications, 1956.

Kramer, E.E. The nature and growth of modern mathematics. New York: Hawthorn Books, Inc. Vol. 1 and 2, 1970.

Krantz,

of the Speech Communication Association, Chicago, December, 1974.

Michels, W., et al. Foundations of Physics. Princeton, New Jersey: D. Van Nostrand Company, 1968.

Mistretta, M. Criminal law and the collective conscience: Multidimensional scaling of collective cultural perceptions of crime. Unpublished manuscript, University of Illinois, Urbana, 1975.

Pieszko, H. Multidimensional scaling in Riemann space. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Department of psychology, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, 1970.

Poincare, H. The foundations of science. Lancaster, PA: Science Press, 1946.

Reichenbach, H. Space and time. New York: Dover Publications, 1958.

Saltiel, J., & Woelfel, J.D. Inertia in cognitive processes: The role of accumulated information in attitude changes. Human Communication.

Marlier, J. Procedures for a precise test of social judgment predictions of assimilation and contrast. Paper presented at the annual meetings

24:04

Stand of a banking of some stand of the second standard standard standard standard standard standard standard s in mile address to see the second er per antis in the second developments and second sec second and the second of the

and the second second to the second second

- Association, Chicago, April, 1975.
- Stevens, S. Measurement, statistics and the schemapiric view. Science, CLXI:849-856.
- John Wiley and Sons, 1963, pp. 1-76.
- Wakshlag, J., & Edison, N. A model for the validation of the source Association Annual Meeting, December, 1975.

i.

Serota, K.B., Fink, E.L., Noell, J., Woelfel, J.D. Communication, ideology and political behavior: A multidimensional analysis. Paper presented to the Political Communication Division of the International Communication

Stevens, S. Mathematics, measurement, and psychophysics. In S. Stevens (Ed.) Handbook of Experimental Psychology. New York: Wiley, 1951, p. 1.

Suppes, P. & Zinnes, J.L. Basic measurement theory. In R.D. Luce, R.R. Bush and E. Galanter (Eds.), Handbook of Mathematical Psychology. New York:

credibility construct. Paper presented at the Speech Communication